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As an extension to the functional variable separation approach, the approximate functional variable separation approach is proposed, and it is applied to study the quasi-linear diffusion equations with weak source. A complete classification of these perturbed equations which admit approximate functional separable solutions is obtained. As a result, the corresponding approximate functional separable solutions to the resulting perturbed equations are derived via examples.
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## 1. Introduction

A number of methods have been used to find symmetry reductions and construct solutions of partial differential equations (PDEs) [1]. These include the classical method [2], the differential Stäckel matrix approach [3], the ansatz-based method [4], the geometrical method [5], the formal variable separation approach $[6,7]$, the multi-linear variable separation approach [8], the functional variable separation approach [9-11], and the derivative-dependent functional variable separation approach [12-16], etc.

In the mean while, some nonlinear equations depending on a small parameter, or perturbed PDEs arising from various fields of science, technology, and engineering, have been attracting more and more attention. For decades, quite a few methods for tackling perturbed nonlinear evolution equations have been developed, such as the approximate Lie group theory [17], the approximate symmetry method [18], the approximate conditional symmetry method [19], the approximate potential symmetry method [20], the Lie group technique [21], the approximate generalized conditional symmetry approach [22], the approximate symmetry reduction for Cauchy problems of the perturbed PDEs [23], and so on.

In [9], the authors discussed the functional variable separation issue for the quasi-linear diffusion equations with nonlinear source. Now we intend to develop
the functional variable separation approach to the perturbed case. The layout of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we define the approximate functional separable solutions (AFSSs) to the perturbed equations and present the basic theory of the approximate functional variable separation (AFVS). In Section 3, we classify the quasi-linear diffusion equations with weak source which admit AFSSs. In Section 4, we illustrate the main operating procedure for the AFVS approach with some examples. The last section involve the concluding remarks.

## 2. Approximate Generalized Conditional Symmetries and Approximate Functional Separable Solutions for the Perturbed Evolution Equations

Consider a $k$ th-order differential system $[E]$, which is perturbed up to the first order in the small parameter $\varepsilon$, viz.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{\beta}\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)} ; \varepsilon\right) \equiv E_{0}^{\beta}\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon E_{1}^{\beta}\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)}\right)=0,  \tag{1}\\
& \beta=1, \ldots, q,
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}\right), u=\left(u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}\right), E_{i}^{\beta}$ are smooth functions in their arguments, $\varepsilon$ a small parameter, $u_{(i)}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ is the collection of $i$ th-order partial derivatives, and
$D_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}+u_{i}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}}+u_{i j}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}^{\alpha}}+\cdots, i=1, \ldots, n$,
denotes the operator of total derivative with respect to $x^{i}$.

Definition 1. An operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi= & \xi^{i}\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)} ; \varepsilon\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \\
& +\eta^{\alpha}\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)} ; \varepsilon\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

(summation on $i$ and $\alpha$ ) is the first-order approximate generalized conditional symmetry (AGCS) of (1), if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\chi^{[k]}\left(E^{\beta}\right)\right|_{[W] \cap[E]}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=X_{0}+\varepsilon X_{1}, \chi^{[k]}=X_{0}^{[k]}+\varepsilon X_{1}^{[k]} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{b}= & \xi_{b}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}+\eta_{b}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \\
X_{b}^{[k]}= & X_{b}+\zeta_{b, i}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}^{\alpha}}+\zeta_{b, i_{1} i_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{\alpha}}  \tag{5}\\
& +\cdots+\zeta_{b, i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{k}}^{\alpha}}, b=0,1,
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\xi_{b}^{i}$ and $\eta_{b}^{\alpha}$ are functions of $\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.u_{(k)}\right)$. The additional coefficients are determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{b, i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{s}}^{\alpha}= & D_{i_{1}} D_{i_{2}} \cdots D_{i_{s}}\left(W_{b}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& +\xi_{b}^{j} u_{j i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{s}}^{\alpha}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{b}^{\alpha}$ is the characteristic defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{b}^{\alpha}=\eta_{b}^{\alpha}-\xi_{b}^{j} u_{j}^{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \ldots, m . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X_{b}$ are the generalized symmetry operators. Moreover, $[E]$ is the solution manifold of (1), and $[W]$ denotes the following system, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}^{\alpha}+\varepsilon W_{1}^{\alpha}=\left(\eta_{0}^{\alpha}-\xi_{0}^{i} u_{i}^{\alpha}\right)+\varepsilon\left(\eta_{1}^{\alpha}-\xi_{1}^{i} u_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \\
&=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),  \tag{8}\\
& \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{s}}\left(W_{0}^{\alpha}+\varepsilon W_{1}^{\alpha}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{i_{s}}=\partial / \partial x^{i_{s}}, i_{s}=1, \ldots, n$. Expression (8) is the invariant surface condition of the system $[E]$, while the set of surface conditions (9) are just different-order derivatives of (8).

Suppose (1) admits the AGCS generated by $\chi$, then the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i} \approx U_{0}^{i}+\varepsilon U_{1}^{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an approximate invariant solution of (1) under a oneparameter subgroup generated by $\chi$ if system $[W]$ holds together with (1). Thus an approximate solution can be determined by solving the invariant surface conditions (8), (9), and (1).

In particular, for a perturbed $(1+1)$-dimensional nonlinear evolution equation, we have the following definition.

Definition 2. The evolutionary vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \equiv \eta(x, t, u ; \varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\eta=\eta(x, t, u ; \varepsilon)$ is said to be an AGCS of the perturbed nonlinear evolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=K(x, t, u ; \varepsilon) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V^{(k)}\left(u_{t}-K(x, t, u ; \varepsilon)\right)\right|_{[W] \cap[E]}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $u_{t}=K(x, t, u ; \varepsilon)$, where $V^{(k)}$ denotes the $k$ thorder prolongation to (11), $K$ and $\eta$ are differentiable functions of $t, x$ and $u, u_{x}, u_{x x}, \ldots,[W]$ indicates the set of all differential consequences of $\eta=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ with respect to $x$, that is, $D_{x}^{j} \eta=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), j=0,1,2, \ldots$.

Proposition 1. Equation (12) admits the AGCS (11) if there exists a function $S(x, t, u, \eta)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}+[K, \eta]=S(x, t, u, \eta)+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& S\left(x, t, u, O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $[K, \eta]=\eta^{\prime} K-K^{\prime} \eta$, the prime denotes the Fréchet derivative, and $S$ is an analytic function of $x$, $t, u, u_{1}, \ldots$, and $\eta, D_{x} \eta, D_{x}^{2} \eta, \ldots$.

It follows from (14) that (12) admits AGCS (11) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D_{t} \eta\right|_{[W] \cap[E]}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3. The approximate solution $u=u(x, t ; \varepsilon)$ of (12) is said to be an approximate functional separable solution (AFSS) if there exist some functions $f, g$, $\psi, \phi, \omega$, and $\theta$ of their arguments such that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(u)+\varepsilon g(u)= & \psi(x)+\phi(t)+\varepsilon(\omega(x) \\
& +\theta(t))+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

For brevity, we set $f \equiv f(u), g \equiv g(u)$. Suppose $\left|\varepsilon f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}\right|<1$, differentiating (16) with respect to $x$ and $t$, then expanding it into power series in $\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x t}+\left[\left(\ln \left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right] u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes first-order derivative with respect to $u$. Then we have the following statement:

Theorem 1. Equation (12) possesses AFSS (16) if and only if it admits the AGCS
$V=\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \equiv\left[u_{x t}+(p(u)+\varepsilon q(u)) u_{x} u_{t}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(u)=\left(\ln \left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}, q(u)=\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To perform the approximate functional variable separation (AFVS) approach, as an application, we are mainly concerned with the $(1+1)$-dimensional quasilinear diffusion equation with weak source

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(A(u) u_{x}\right)_{x}+\varepsilon F(u) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(u) \neq 0$ and $F(u) \neq 0$ are arbitrary functions to be fixed, $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter. First, we classify (20) which admits AGCS in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=u_{x t}+(p(u)+\varepsilon q(u)) u_{x} u_{t} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we show how to construct AFSSs to the resulting perturbed quasi-linear diffusion equations with its AGCSs in the classification theorem by way of examples.

If (20) admits AFSS (16), then the following perturbed equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}=L(v) v_{x x}+Q(v) v_{x}^{2}+\varepsilon M(v) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{t}=G(w) w_{x x}+\varepsilon H(w) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

also have AFSSs. In fact, (20), (22), and (23) are related as follows:

If we put $u=u(v)$ in (20), by comparison with (22) and calculation, we get the following relation between (20) and (22):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(v)=\int^{v}\left[\frac{1}{L(v)} \exp \left(\int\left(\frac{Q(v)}{L(v)}\right) \mathrm{d} v\right)\right] \mathrm{d} v  \tag{24}\\
& A(u)=L(v), F(u)=M(v) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} v}
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute $w=w(v)$ into (23), using (22) and calculating, we obtain the relation between (22) and (23) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(v)=\int^{v}\left[\exp \left(\int\left(\frac{Q(v)}{L(v)}\right) \mathrm{d} v\right)\right] \mathrm{d} v  \tag{25}\\
& G(w)=L(v), H(w)=M(v) \frac{\mathrm{d} w}{\mathrm{~d} v}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, it is possible to relate (23) and (20) with

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(u)=\int^{u} A(u) \mathrm{d} u, \quad G(w)=A(u),  \tag{26}\\
& H(w)=F(u) A(u), w=w(u) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if (22) admits AFSSs for any function $v=$ $k(u)$, then the perturbed equation

$$
u_{t}=\tilde{L}(u) u_{x x}+\tilde{Q}(u) u_{x}^{2}+\varepsilon \tilde{M}(u)
$$

also possesses AFSSs, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{L}(u)=L(k(u)), \\
& \tilde{Q}(u)=\frac{L(k(u)) \ddot{k}+Q(k(u)) \dot{k}^{2}}{\dot{k}}, \\
& \tilde{M}(u)=\frac{M(k(u))}{\dot{k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\dot{k}=\mathrm{d} k / \mathrm{d} u, \ddot{k}=\mathrm{d}^{2} k / \mathrm{d} u^{2}$.
So it is sufficient to study the AFSSs to (20).

## 3. Classification of (20) which admits AGCS (21)

Now we apply the AFVS approach to deal with the classification problem of (20) which admits AGCS (21). The algorithm for calculating AGCSs of nonlinear evolution equations can be found in [22]. By the definition of AGCS and (15), after straightforward calculation, we find that (20) admits AGCS (21) if and
only if

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.D_{t} \eta\right|_{[W] \cap[E]}= & \varepsilon\left[\Omega_{0} u_{x} u_{x x}^{2}+\left(\Omega_{1} u_{x}^{3}+\Omega_{2} u_{x}\right) u_{x x}\right. \\
& \left.+\Omega_{3} u_{x}^{5}+\Omega_{4} u_{x}^{3}\right]+\Lambda_{0} u_{x} u_{x x}^{2}  \tag{27}\\
& +\Lambda_{1} u_{x}^{3} u_{x x}+\Lambda_{2} u_{x}^{5}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{i} \equiv \Omega_{i}(u), \Lambda_{j} \equiv \Lambda_{j}(u)(i=0,1, \ldots, 4, \quad j=$ $0,1,2)$ depend on $A(u), F(u), p(u), q(u)$, and their derivatives with respect to $u$. Decomposing (27) yields the following over-determined system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{0}= & -3 q A^{2} A^{\prime}+2\left(2 p q-q^{\prime}\right) A^{3}=O(\varepsilon),  \tag{28}\\
\Omega_{1}= & -q A^{2} A^{\prime \prime}-4 q A\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2\left(3 p q-2 q^{\prime}\right) A^{2} A^{\prime}  \tag{29}\\
& +\left(2 p^{\prime} q+2 p q^{\prime}-q^{\prime \prime}\right) A^{3}=O(\varepsilon), \\
\Omega_{2}= & 3 F A A^{\prime \prime}-3 F\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(4 p F+F^{\prime}\right) A A^{\prime} \\
& +\left(F^{\prime \prime}+p F^{\prime}+F\left(2 p^{2}-p^{\prime}\right)\right) A^{2}=O(\varepsilon),  \tag{30}\\
\Omega_{3}= & -q A A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}-q\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{3}+2\left(p q-q^{\prime}\right) A\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}  \tag{31}\\
& +\left(2 p^{\prime} q+2 p q^{\prime}-q^{\prime \prime}\right) A^{2} A^{\prime}=O(\varepsilon), \\
\Omega_{4}= & F A A^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p A+A^{\prime}\right) F A^{\prime \prime}-\left(F^{\prime}+2 p F\right)\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(F^{\prime \prime}+p F^{\prime}+\left(p^{2}-p^{\prime}\right) F\right) A A^{\prime}  \tag{32}\\
& +\left(2 p p^{\prime}-p^{\prime \prime}\right) F A^{2}=O(\varepsilon), \\
\Lambda_{0}= & 3 A^{2} A^{\prime \prime}-3 A\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}-3 p A^{2} A^{\prime} \\
& +2\left(p^{2}-p^{\prime}\right) A^{3}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),  \tag{33}\\
\Lambda_{1}= & A^{2} A^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(2 A^{\prime}-p A\right) A A^{\prime \prime}-3\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{3} \\
& -4 p A\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(3 p^{2}-4 p^{\prime}\right) A^{2} A^{\prime}  \tag{34}\\
& +\left(2 p p^{\prime}-p^{\prime \prime}\right) A^{3}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \\
\Lambda_{2}= & A A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left(p A+A^{\prime}\right) A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}-p\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{3} \\
& +\left(p^{2}-2 p^{\prime}\right) A\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}  \tag{35}\\
& +\left(2 p p^{\prime}-p^{\prime \prime}\right) A^{2} A^{\prime}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the primes denote different-order derivatives with respect to $u$, respectively.

Solving (28)-(35) for unknown functions $A(u)$, $F(u), p(u)$, and $q(u)$, we finally obtain the complete classification of (20) which admits AGCS (21).

Theorem 2. Suppose $A(u) F(u) \neq 0$, then the perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(A(u) u_{x}\right)_{x}+\varepsilon F(u) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits AGCS (21) if and only if it is equivalent to one of the following equations, up to first-order in $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\text { (1) } \begin{align*}
u_{t}= & c_{1} u^{\alpha} u_{x x}+c_{1} \alpha u^{\alpha-1} u_{x}^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left(c_{2} u+c_{3} u^{\alpha+1}\right), \alpha \neq-\frac{6}{5},  \tag{37}\\
\eta= & u_{x t}-u^{-1} u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) ;  \tag{38}\\
\text { (2) } u_{t}= & \left(A(u) u_{x}\right)_{x}+\varepsilon F(u),  \tag{39}\\
\eta= & u_{x t}+p(u) u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=A(u), F=F(u)$, and $p=p(u)$ satisfy the following ODEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad p^{\prime}-p^{2}+c_{1} A=0,  \tag{41}\\
& 3 A A^{\prime \prime}-3\left(A^{\prime}+p A\right) A^{\prime}-2\left(p^{\prime}-p^{2}\right) A^{2}=0,  \tag{42}\\
&  \tag{43}\\
& A F^{\prime \prime}+\left(p A-A^{\prime}\right) F^{\prime}+\left(p^{\prime} A-p A^{\prime}\right) F=0 ;  \tag{3}\\
& \text { (3) } u_{t}=u^{-\frac{6}{5}} u_{x x}-\frac{6}{5} u^{-\frac{11}{5}} u_{x}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(c_{2} u+c_{3} u^{-\frac{1}{5}}\right),  \tag{45}\\
&  \tag{46}\\
&  \tag{47}\\
& \eta=u_{x t}+\left(-u^{-1}+\varepsilon c_{1} u^{-\frac{1}{5}}\right) u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) ;  \tag{48}\\
& \text { (4) } u_{t}=c_{1} u_{x x}+\varepsilon\left(c_{2} u+c_{3}\right), \\
& \\
& \eta=u_{x t}+\varepsilon c_{4} u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), c_{4} \neq 0 ; \\
& \text { (5) } u_{t}=c_{1} u_{x x}+\varepsilon\left(c_{2} u+c_{3} u \ln (u)\right), \\
& \\
& \\
& \eta=u_{x t}+\left(u^{-1}+\varepsilon c_{4} u^{-2}\right) u_{x} u_{t}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ are arbitrary constants, and $c_{1} \neq$ $0,\left|c_{2}\right|+\left|c_{3}\right| \neq 0$.

Remark 1. By the transformations (24)-(26), we can also obtain the corresponding classification theorems for perturbed (22) and (23) which admit AFSSs.

## 4. Construction of AFSSs for the Resulting Equations

To construct AFSSs to the equations listed in Theorem 2, we should take three main steps:
(i) In terms of $p(u)$ and $q(u)$ from the corresponding AGCS listed in different cases of Theorem 2, we can get $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ by solving (19).
(ii) Substituting $u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$ into the perturbed equation and its ansatz (16), expanding them into power series in $\varepsilon$ respectively, and equating the coefficients of $\varepsilon^{0}$ and $\varepsilon^{1}$, then the resulting two expressions can be reduced to a system of four ODEs.
(iii) Solving that system for unknown functions $\psi(x), \phi(t), \omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$, an AFSS to the perturbed equation can be finally obtained via (16).

We show the way by some examples.

Example 1. To obtain an AFSS to (44), one generally intends to solve (44) with AGCS (45) and the ansatz (16).

Firstly, comparing AGCS (45) with (21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(u)=-u^{-1}, q(u)=c_{1} u^{-\frac{1}{5}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (50) into (19) and solving them, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(u)=s_{2} \ln (u)+s_{1}, \\
& g(u)=\frac{25}{16} c_{1} s_{2} u^{\frac{4}{5}}+s_{3} \ln (u)+s_{4}, s_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, after substitution of (51), an AFSS in the form (16) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{2} \ln (u)+s_{1}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{25}{16} c_{1} s_{2} u^{\frac{4}{5}}+s_{3} \ln (u)+s_{4}\right)  \tag{52}\\
& =\psi(x)+\phi(t)+\varepsilon(\omega(x)+\theta(t))+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Secondly, substituting $u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$ into (52), expanding it into power series in $\varepsilon$ and vanishing of coefficients of $\varepsilon^{0}$ and $\varepsilon^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{2} \ln \left(u_{0}\right)+s_{1}-\psi(x)-\phi(t)=0,  \tag{53}\\
& \frac{25}{16} c_{1} s_{2} u_{0}^{\frac{4}{5}}+s_{2} \frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}+s_{3} \ln \left(u_{0}\right)  \tag{54}\\
& +s_{4}-\omega(x)-\theta(t)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, after substituting $u=u_{0}+\varepsilon u_{1}$ into (44) and expanding it into power series in $\varepsilon$, the vanishing of coefficients of $\varepsilon^{0}$ and $\varepsilon^{1}$ gives, respectively, the original unperturbed equation of $u_{0}$ and the equation of $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0 t}= & u_{0}^{-\frac{6}{5}} u_{0 x x}-\frac{6}{5} u_{0}^{-\frac{11}{5}} u_{0 x}^{2}  \tag{55}\\
u_{1 t}= & u_{0}^{-\frac{6}{5}} u_{1 x x}-\frac{12}{5} u_{0}^{-\frac{11}{5}} u_{0 x} u_{1 x} \\
& +\frac{6}{5} u_{0}^{-\frac{11}{5}}\left(\frac{11}{5} u_{0}^{-1} u_{0 x}^{2}-u_{0 x x}\right) u_{1}+c_{3} u_{0}^{-\frac{1}{5}}+c_{2} u_{0} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ from (53) and (54), and substituting them into (55) and (56), making full use of the usual variable separation method, by some detailed reasoning and calculation, we attain the following ODEs regarding $\psi(x), \phi(t), \omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho \mathrm{e}^{\frac{6}{5} \frac{\psi(x)-s_{1}}{s_{2}}}+v \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2}{5} \frac{\psi(x)-s_{1}}{s_{2}}}\right)  \tag{57}\\
& 5 s_{2} \phi^{\prime}(t)=\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{6}{5} \frac{\phi(t)}{s_{2}}}, \rho \neq 0  \tag{58}\\
& 40\left(5 s_{2}^{3} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 s_{2}^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)+s_{2} s_{3} \psi^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+5 c_{3} s_{2}^{4}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{6}{5} \frac{s_{1}-\psi(x)}{s_{2}}}-48 \rho\left(s_{2} \omega(x)\right.  \tag{59}\\
& \left.-s_{3} \psi(x)-s_{2} s_{4}+s_{1} s_{3}\right)=\mu \\
& 200 s_{2}^{3}\left(\theta^{\prime}(t)-c_{2} s_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{6}{5} \frac{\theta(t)}{s_{2}}}+48 \rho\left(s_{2} \theta(t)\right. \\
& \left.-s_{3} \phi(t)\right)+75 c_{1} s_{2}^{2} v \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{5} \frac{\theta(t)}{s_{2}}}=\mu \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Lastly, solving (57) - (60), we find that $\psi(x), \phi(t)$, $\omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ are determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \sqrt{2} \int^{\psi(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho \mathrm{e}^{\frac{6}{5} \frac{\xi-s_{1}}{s_{2}}}+v \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2}{5} \frac{\xi-s_{1}}{s_{2}}}}} \mathrm{~d} \xi=x+a_{1} \\
& \phi(t)=-\frac{5}{6} \ln \left(\frac{25}{6} \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{\rho\left(t+a_{2}\right)}\right), \\
& 40\left(5 s_{2}^{3} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 s_{2}^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)+s_{2} s_{3} \psi^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+5 c_{3} s_{2}^{4}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{6 s_{1}-\psi(x)}{s_{2}}}-48 \rho\left(s_{2} \omega(x)\right. \\
& \left.-s_{3} \psi(x)-s_{2} s_{4}+s_{1} s_{3}\right)-\mu=0, \\
& \theta(t)=-\frac{3}{80} \times 30^{\frac{2}{3}} c_{1} v\left(s_{2} \rho\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(t+a_{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \quad-\frac{5}{6} s_{3}\left[\ln \left(\frac{25}{6} \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{\rho\left(t+a_{2}\right)}\right)+1\right] \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{2} s_{2} t^{2}+a_{2} c_{2} s_{2} t-\frac{1}{48} \mu\left(s_{2} \rho\right)^{-1} t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+a_{3}\right)\left(t+a_{2}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain an explicit AFSS from (16) by substituting the above expressions for functions $\psi(x), \phi(t)$, $\omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ into (52) and solving it for $u$. To rule out trivial AFSSs, where and hereafter we assume that $\psi^{\prime}(x) \phi^{\prime}(t) \omega^{\prime}(x) \theta^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$.

In the same way, using the AFVS approach, some AFSSs to other equations in Theorem 2 can be determined. We display some results below.

Example 2. Equation (37) enjoys AFSSs (16), with

$$
f(u)=k_{2} \ln (u)+k_{1}, g(u)=k_{4} \ln (u)+k_{3},
$$

where $\psi(x), \phi(t), \omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ satisfy
(i) $\alpha \neq-\frac{6}{5}, 0,-2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm c_{1}(\sqrt{\alpha+2}) \int^{\psi(x)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \xi(1+\alpha)}{k_{2}}}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\sqrt{b_{2}^{\frac{1}{k_{2}}}+2 \lambda \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\alpha k_{1}+(\alpha+2) \xi}{k_{2}}}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \xi=x+b_{3} \\
& \phi(t)=\frac{k_{2}}{\alpha} \ln \left(-\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{\alpha \lambda\left(t+b_{1}\right)}\right) \\
& {\left[c_{1} k_{2}^{3} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 c_{1} k_{2}^{2}(1+\alpha) \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)\right.} \\
& \left.-c_{1} k_{2} k_{4}(1+\alpha)\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}+c_{3} k_{2}^{4}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\alpha\left(\psi(x)-k_{1}\right)}{k_{2}}} \\
& -\lambda \alpha\left(k_{4} \psi(x)-k_{4} k_{1}-k_{2} \omega(x)+k_{2} k_{3}\right)+\gamma=0 \\
& \theta(t)=\frac{k_{4}}{\alpha} \ln \left(-\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{\alpha \lambda\left(t+b_{1}\right)}\right)+\left[2^{-1} c_{2} k_{2} t^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(c_{2} k_{2} b_{1}+\alpha^{-1} \lambda^{-1}\left(k_{4}+\gamma\right)\right) t \\
& \left.+b_{1} k_{4} \alpha^{-1}+b_{4}\right] \times\left(t+b_{1}\right)^{-1}, b_{2} k_{2} \lambda \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\alpha=-2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{2}}{2}} \int^{\psi(x)} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{k_{1}-\xi}{k_{2}}}}{\sqrt{\lambda \xi+v_{2} k_{2}}} \mathrm{~d} \xi=x+v_{3} \\
& \phi(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2 c_{1} \lambda\left(t+v_{1}\right)}\right) k_{2}, \\
& k_{2}\left[c_{1}\left(k_{2}^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 k_{2} \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)+k_{4} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+c_{3} k_{2}^{3}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\left(k_{1}-\psi(x)\right)}{k_{2}}}-2 c_{1} k_{4} \lambda\left(k_{1}-\psi(x)\right) \\
& +2 c_{1} k_{2} \lambda\left(k_{3}-\omega(x)\right)+\gamma=0 \\
& \theta(t)=-\frac{k_{4}}{2} \ln \left(\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{c_{1} \lambda\left(t+v_{1}\right)}\right)+\left[2^{-1} c_{2} k_{2} t^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(2^{-1}(\ln 2-1) k_{4}+c_{2} k_{2} v_{1}\right) t \\
& \left.+v_{4}-2^{-1} k_{4} v_{1}\right] \times\left(t+v_{1}\right)^{-1}, k_{2} \lambda \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $\alpha=0$,(37) is linear, we needn't discuss it here.

Example 3. An AFSS to (46) is determined by (16), with
$g(u)=\frac{1}{2} c_{4} r_{1} u^{2}+\left(c_{4} r_{2}+r_{3}\right) u+r_{4}, f(u)=r_{1} u+r_{2}$,
where $\psi(x), \phi(t), \omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ are expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x)= & \frac{1}{2} \beta c_{1}^{-1} x^{2}+h_{2} x+h_{3}, \phi(t)=\beta t+h_{1}, \\
\omega(x)= & \frac{1}{24} \beta\left(c_{1}^{2} r_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(2 c_{4} \beta-c_{2} r_{1}\right) x^{4}+\frac{1}{6} h_{2}\left(c_{1} r_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot\left(2 c_{4} \beta-c_{2} r_{1}\right) x^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1} r_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(c_{1} c_{4} h_{2}^{2}+c_{2} r_{1} r_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-c_{2} r_{1} h_{3}-c_{3} r_{1}^{2}-\delta\right) x^{2}+h_{5} x+h_{6}, \\
\theta(t)= & \frac{1}{2} c_{2} \beta t^{2}+r_{1}^{-1}\left(c_{2} r_{1} h_{1}-\delta\right) t+h_{4}, r_{1} \beta \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4. Some AFSSs to (48) are given by (16), with
$f(u)=b_{2} \ln (u)+b_{1}, g(u)=b_{3} \ln (u)+b_{2} c_{4} u^{-1}+b_{4}$,
where $\psi(x), \phi(t), \omega(x)$, and $\theta(t)$ are determined by
(i) $\psi(x)=-m x+b_{2} \ln \left(\frac{l_{3}-l_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 m x}{b_{2}}}}{2 m}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(t)=\frac{c_{1} m^{2}}{b_{2}} t+l_{1}, \\
& c_{1} b_{2}^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 c_{1} b_{2} \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)-c_{1} b_{3}\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \\
& +c_{3} b_{2}^{2} \psi(x)-c_{3} b_{1} b_{2}^{2}+c_{2} b_{2}^{3}-\sigma=0, \\
& \theta(t)=\frac{c_{1} c_{3} m^{2}}{2 b_{2}} t^{2}+\left(c_{3} l_{1}+\frac{\sigma}{b_{2}^{2}}\right) t+l_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
b_{2} m \neq 0
$$

(ii) $\psi(x)=b_{2} \ln \left(\frac{l_{3} \cos \left(\frac{m x}{b_{2}}\right)-l_{2} \sin \left(\frac{m x}{b_{2}}\right)}{m}\right)$,
$\phi(t)=-\frac{c_{1} m^{2}}{b_{2}} t+l_{1}$,
$c_{1} b_{2}^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 c_{1} b_{2} \psi^{\prime}(x) \omega^{\prime}(x)-c_{1} b_{3}\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}$
$+c_{3} b_{2}^{2} \psi(x)-c_{3} b_{1} b_{2}^{2}+c_{2} b_{2}^{3}-\sigma=0$,
$\theta(t)=-\frac{c_{1} c_{3} m^{2}}{2 b_{2}} t^{2}+\left(c_{3} l_{1}+\frac{\sigma}{b_{2}^{2}}\right) t+l_{4}$,
$b_{2} m \neq 0$.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

In summary, we have presented the AFVS approach for the perturbed nonlinear evolution equations which
admit AFSSs. By using the approach, we have classified the quasi-linear diffusion equation with weak source which admits AFSSs and shown the main solving procedure by way of examples. In general, these results cannot be obtained by the other symmetry reduction methods. This extends the scope of the approximate symmetry and the perturbation theory in some manner. It is interesting to investigate other types of
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perturbed PDEs in terms of the AFVS approach, and some new results will be achieved sooner or later.
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