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#### Abstract

We study a Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ for spin- $1 / 2$ with triple spin interactions. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are determined and the unitary matrices $\exp (-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t / \hbar)$ are found. Entanglement of the eigenvectors is investigated. A Hamilton operator $\hat{K}$ for spin- 1 and triple spin interaction is also discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

In quantum theory Hamilton operators with spininteractions have a long history [ $1-5$ ]. Triple spin interaction have been studied by several authors [6-19]. Iglói [6] investigated an Ising model with three-spin interaction by finite-size scaling and applying free boundary conditions. Vanderzande and Iglói [7] studied the critical behaviour and logarithmic corrections of a quantum model with three-spin interaction. Alcaraz and Barber [8] and Wittlich [9] studied a onedimensional Ising quantum chain with $3 N$ sites with staggered three-spin coupling and periodic boundary conditions. Somma et al. [10] studied the unitary operator $U(t)=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \omega t \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right)$. Here $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are the Pauli spin matrices
$\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
Pachos and Plenio [11] studied three-spin interactions in optical lattices. Three qubit Hamilton operators and Riemannian geometry has been discussed by Brandt [12]. Using the mean field method Jiang and Kong [13] studied a spin-1 quantum Ising model with three-spin interaction. Wang et al. [14] investigated the bifurcation in ground-state fidelity for a onedimensional spin model with competing two-spin and three-spin interactions. Lanyon et al. [15] considered among others the triple-spin operator $\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ for universal digital quantum simulation with trapped ions. Topilko et al. [16] considered magnetocaloric effects in
spin-1/2 XX chains with three-spin interactions. Zhang et al. [17] investigated the geometric phase of a qubit symmetrically coupled to a XY-spin chain with three spin interaction in a transverse magnetic field. The Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state and triplespin operators $\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes$ $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1}$ have been discussed by Aravind [18] in connection with Bell's theorem without inequalities. A nonlinear eigenvalue problem with triple-spin interaction has been solved by Steeb and Hardy [19]. Here we consider triple-spin interaction and entanglement for spin-1/2 systems. Spin-1 systems will also be discussed.

We consider the Hamilton operator with triple-spin interaction of spin-1/2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}= & \hbar \omega_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes I_{2}+I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes I_{2}+I_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& +\hbar \omega_{2}\left(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes I_{2}+\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}+I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& +\hbar \omega_{3}\left(\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$ are the Pauli spin matrices, and $I_{2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix. Thus the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$ acts in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{8}$. The Pauli matrices are hermitian and unitary with $\sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}=\sigma_{3}^{2}=I_{2}$. The eigenvalues are +1 and -1 with the corresponding normalized eigenvectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{1}, \mathbf{u}_{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-1}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{\mathrm{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-\mathrm{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{1}=\binom{1}{0}, \mathbf{w}_{-1}=\binom{0}{1}
$$

We calculate the unitary matrix $U(t)=\exp (-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t / \hbar)$ to solve the Schrödinger and Heisenberg equation of motion. These unitary operators are applied to entangled and unentangled states. Entangled and unentangled states can be found depending on the parameters $\hbar \omega_{1}, \hbar \omega_{2}, \hbar \omega_{3}$. As entanglement measure for the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$, we consider the threetangle.

## 2. A Theorem

For the calculation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}$, we utilize the following theorem [20,21]. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ and $I_{n}$ be the $n \times n$ unit matrix. Consider the matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & c_{1}\left(A_{1} \otimes I_{n} \otimes I_{n}+I_{n} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{n}+I_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes A_{3}\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{n}+A_{1} \otimes I_{n} \otimes A_{3}+I_{n} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}\right) \\
& +c_{3}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are constants. Since the terms in $M$ commutate pairwise, we can write $\exp (M)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{M}= & \mathrm{e}^{c_{1} A_{1} \otimes I_{n} \otimes I_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{c_{1} I_{n} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{c_{1} I_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes A_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{c_{2} A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{n}} \\
& \cdot \mathrm{e}^{c_{2} A_{1} \otimes I_{n} \otimes A_{3}} \mathrm{e}_{c_{2} I_{n} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{c_{3}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|\mathbf{u}\rangle,|\mathbf{v}\rangle,|\mathbf{w}\rangle$ are eigenvectors of $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, respectively, with eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu, v$, we find the eigenvector $|\mathbf{u}\rangle \otimes|\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes|\mathbf{w}\rangle$ of $M$ with the eigenvalue
$c_{1}(\lambda+\mu+v)+c_{2}(\lambda \mu+\lambda v+\mu v)+c_{3}(\lambda \mu v)$.
Then $|\mathbf{u}\rangle \otimes|\mathbf{v}\rangle \otimes|\mathbf{w}\rangle$ is also an eigenvector of $\mathrm{e}^{M}$ with the corresponding eigenvalues
$c_{1}(\lambda+\mu+v)+c_{2}(\lambda \mu+\lambda v+\mu v)+c_{3}(\lambda \mu v)$.
If the matrices $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ have the additional properties that $A_{1}^{2}=A_{2}^{2}=A_{3}^{2}=I_{n}$ (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case with $n=2$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{c_{3} A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}}= & \left(I_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes I_{n}\right) \cosh \left(c_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}\right) \cosh \left(c_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the matrices $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ have the additional properties that $A_{j}^{3}=A_{j}$ with $j=1,2,3$ (spin-1 case with $n=3$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{c_{3} A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}}= & I_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes I_{n}+\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3}\right) \sinh \left(c_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(A_{1}^{2} \otimes A_{2}^{2} \otimes A_{3}^{2}\right)\left(\cosh \left(c_{3}\right)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Spin-1/2 Case

The eight normalized eigenvectors of $\hat{H}$ can be constructed from the normalized eigenvectors of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, $\sigma_{3}$ and the Kronecker products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}_{111}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{11-1}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{1-11}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{1-1-1}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{-111}=\mathbf{u}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{-11-1}=\mathbf{u}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{-1-11}=\mathbf{u}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{e}_{-1-1-1}=\mathbf{u}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the corresponding eight eigenvalues

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{111}=\hbar\left(3 \omega_{1}+3 \omega_{2}+\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{11-1}=\hbar\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{1-11}=\hbar\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{1-1-1}=\hbar\left(-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{-111}=\hbar\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{-11-1}=\hbar\left(-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{-1-11}=\hbar\left(-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}\right) \\
& E_{-1-1-1}=\hbar\left(-3 \omega_{1}+3 \omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{11-1}=E_{1-11}=E_{-111}$ and $E_{1-1-1}=E_{-11-1}=$ $E_{-1-11}$. The eigenvalues $E_{111}$ and $E_{-1-1-1}$ are not degenerate. Note that the eight normalized eigenvectors are pairwise orthogonal. Thus we have (spectral decomposition)

$$
\hat{H}=\sum_{j, k, \ell \in\{1,-1\}} E_{j k<} \mathbf{e}_{j k \ell} \mathbf{e}_{j k \ell}^{*} .
$$

Now the unitary matrix $U(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-i t \hat{H} / \hbar}$ can be constructed from the normalized eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\hat{H}$ via

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t \hat{H} / \hbar}=\sum_{j, k, \ell \in\{1,-1\}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{j k t} t / \hbar} \mathbf{e}_{j k \ell} \mathbf{e}_{j k \ell}^{*}
$$

We find

$$
U(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
u_{11}(t) & 0 & u_{13}(t) & 0 & u_{15}(t) & 0 & u_{17}(t) & 0 \\
0 & u_{22}(t) & 0 & u_{24}(t) & 0 & u_{26}(t) & 0 & u_{28}(t) \\
u_{31}(t) & 0 & u_{33}(t) & 0 & u_{35}(t) & 0 & u_{37}(t) & 0 \\
0 & u_{42}(t) & 0 & u_{44}(t) & 0 & u_{46}(t) & 0 & u_{48}(t) \\
u_{51}(t) & 0 & u_{53}(t) & 0 & u_{55}(t) & 0 & u_{57}(t) & 0 \\
0 & u_{62}(t) & 0 & u_{64}(t) & 0 & u_{66}(t) & 0 & u_{66}(t) \\
u_{71}(t) & 0 & u_{73}(t) & 0 & u_{75}(t) & 0 & u_{77}(t) & 0 \\
0 & u_{82}(t) & 0 & u_{84}(t) & 0 & u_{86}(t) & 0 & u_{88}(t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{11}(t) & =u_{33}(t)=u_{55}(t)=u_{77}(t) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 2+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar / 4}, \\
u_{13}(t) & =-u_{31}(t)=-\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{15}(t) & =u_{51}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{17}(t) & =-u_{71}(t) \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 2, \\
u_{22}(t) & =u_{44}(t)=u_{66}(t)=u_{88}(t) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar / 4+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 2+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4,} \\
u_{24}(t) & =-u_{42}(t)=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{26}(t) & =u_{62}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{28}(t) & =-u_{82} \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 2-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{35}(t) & =-u_{53} \\
& =\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} 4-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 2+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{37}(t) & =u_{73}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{46}(t) & =-u_{64} \\
& =\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 2+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar}, \\
u_{48}(t) & =u_{84}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{57}(t) & =-u_{75}=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{1} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{4} t / \hbar} / 4, \\
u_{68}(t) & =-u_{86}=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{2} t / \hbar} / 4+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{8} t / \hbar} / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

with $1 \leftrightarrow 111,2 \leftrightarrow 11-1,3 \leftrightarrow 1-11,4 \leftrightarrow 1-1-1$, $5 \leftrightarrow-111,6 \leftrightarrow-11-1,7 \leftrightarrow-1-11,8 \leftrightarrow-1-1-$ 1.

## 4. Entanglement

Entangled quantum states are an important component of quantum computing techniques such as quantum error-correction, dense coding, and quantum teleportation [21-27]. Entanglement is the characteristic
trait of quantum mechanics which enforces its entire departure from classical lines of thought. We consider entanglement of pure states. Entanglement of Hamilton operators with triple-spin interaction has not been considered so far.
The eigenvectors given above are product states and hence not entangled. However, some of the eigenvalues are degenerate $\left(E_{2}=E_{3}=E_{5}\right.$ and $\left.E_{4}=E_{6}=E_{7}\right)$ and thus we can form linear combinations of these eigenvectors which are again eigenvectors and can be entangled.

An $N$-tangle can be defined for the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2^{N}}$, with $N=3$ or $N$ even. Two-level and higher-level quantum systems and their physical realization have been studied by many authors. We consider the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2^{N}}$ and the normalized states
$|\psi\rangle=\sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{N}=0}^{1} c_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{N}}\left|j_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|j_{2}\right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes\left|j_{N}\right\rangle$
in this Hilbert space. Here $|0\rangle,|1\rangle$ denotes the standard basis. Let $\varepsilon_{j k}(j, k=0,1)$ be defined by $\varepsilon_{00}=\varepsilon_{11}=0$, $\varepsilon_{01}=1, \varepsilon_{10}=-1$. Let $N$ be even or $N=3$. Then an $N$-tangle can be introduced by [27]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1 \ldots N}= & 2 \mid \sum_{\substack{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}=0 \\
\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{N}=0}}^{1} c_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{N}} c_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{N}} c_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n}} c_{\delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{N}} \\
& \cdot \varepsilon_{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}} \cdots \varepsilon_{\alpha_{N-1} \beta_{N-1}} \varepsilon_{\gamma_{1} \delta_{1}} \varepsilon_{\gamma_{2} \delta_{2}} \\
& \cdots \varepsilon_{\gamma_{N-1} \delta_{N-1}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_{N} \gamma_{N}} \varepsilon_{\beta_{N} \delta_{N}} \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

This includes the definition for the 3-tangle with $N=$ 3. A computer algebra program to find the $N$-tangle is given by Steeb and Hardy [28].

Consider $N=3$ and the GHZ-state and the $W$-state

$$
|\mathrm{GHZ}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad|W\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we find for the GHZ-state that $\tau=1$ and $\tau=0$ for the $W$-state. Note that the $|W\rangle$ state is not separable.

Consider the triple-spin interaction Hamilton operator

$$
\frac{\hat{H}_{T}}{\hbar \omega}=\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}
$$

The eigenvalues are +1 (fourfold) and -1 (fourfold). A set of normalized eigenvectors are the separable states (and thus not entangled) given above. Owing to the degeneracy of the eigenvalue +1 (and analogously for the eigenvalue -1 ), we can form linear combinations of these separable eigenstates that are fully entangled. From the four separable eigenstates with eigenvalue +1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1}\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1} \\
& \binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1}\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we find by linear combinations the fully entangled eigenstates (using the three tangle described above) with eigenvalue +1

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\mathrm{i} \\
-\mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
\mathrm{i} \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-\mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\mathrm{i} \\
-\mathrm{i} \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
\mathrm{i} \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\mathrm{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The four vectors are also linearly independent. Analogously we can make this construction for the eigenvalue -1 to find fully entangled states, i.e. the three tangle is $\tau=+1$.

## 5. Spin-1 Case

Consider the Hamilton operator with triple-spin interaction with spin-1 system with the Hamilton operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}= & \hbar \omega_{1}\left(s_{1} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}+I_{3} \otimes s_{2} \otimes I_{3}+I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \otimes s_{3}\right) \\
& +\hbar \omega_{2}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes I_{3}+s_{1} \otimes I_{3} \otimes s_{3}+I_{3} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}\right) \\
& +\hbar \omega_{3}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ are the trace-less and hermitian $3 \times 3$ matrices
$s_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right), s_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -\mathrm{i} & 0 \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ 0 & \mathrm{i} & 0\end{array}\right)$,
$s_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$,
and $I_{3}$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. The Hamilton operator $\hat{K}$ acts in the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{27}$. Here one can investigate whether the state in $\mathbb{C}^{27}$ can be written as a product state of two vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{9}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{3}, \mathbb{C}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{9}$, or $\mathbb{C}^{3}, \mathbb{C}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. Note that $s_{j}^{3}=s_{j}$ for $j=1,2,3$ and thus $s_{j}^{4}=s_{j}^{2}$ for $j=1,2,3$. The eigenvalues of the matrices $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ are given by $+1,0,-1$. The normalized eigenvectors for $s_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\sqrt{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{u}_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathbf{u}_{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\sqrt{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The normalized eigenvectors for $s_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\sqrt{2} \mathrm{i} \\
-1
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{v}_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathbf{v}_{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\sqrt{2} \mathrm{i} \\
-1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The normalized eigenvectors for $s_{3}$ is the standard basis denoted by $\mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{-1}$. Thus the 27 normalized eigenvectors (separable states) are given by

$$
\mathbf{e}_{j k \ell}=\mathbf{u}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{\ell}, j, k, \ell=1,0,-1
$$

with the 27 eigenvalues

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{j k \ell}= & \hbar \omega_{1}(j+k+\ell)+\hbar \omega_{2}(j k+j \ell+k \ell) \\
& +\hbar \omega_{3}(j k \ell) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for the unitary matrix $V(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t \hat{K} / \hbar}$, we find

$$
V(t)=\sum_{j, k, \ell \in\{1,0,-1\}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{j k \ell} t / \hbar} \mathbf{e}_{j k k} \mathbf{e}_{j k \ell}^{*}
$$

Note that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{z s_{1} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}}= & I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}+\left(s_{1} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}\right) \sinh (z) \\
& +\left(s_{1}^{2} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}\right)(\cosh (z)-1) \\
\mathrm{e}^{z s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes I_{3}}= & I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}+\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes I_{3}\right) \sinh (z) \\
& +\left(s_{1}^{2} \otimes s_{2}^{2} \otimes I_{3}\right)(\cosh (z)-1), \\
\mathrm{e}^{z s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}}= & I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}+\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}\right) \sinh (z) \\
& +\left(s_{1}^{2} \otimes s_{2}^{2} \otimes s_{3}^{2}\right)(\cosh (z)-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $z=-\mathrm{i} \omega t$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega t s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}}= & I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \otimes I_{3}-\mathrm{i}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2} \otimes s_{3}\right) \sin (\omega t) \\
& +\left(s_{1}^{2} \otimes s_{2}^{2} \otimes s_{3}^{2}\right)(\cos (\omega t)-1)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## 6. Conclusion
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