

Access to Orthogonal Protected Phenols – Synthesis of a Silylated Quinol

Shahzad A. Siddiqi and Thilo J. Heckrodt

Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Wien, Währinger Straße 38, A-1090 Wien, Austria

Reprint requests to Dipl.-Chem. Thilo J. Heckrodt. Fax +43 (1) 4277-9521.

E-mail: thilo.heckrodt@univie.ac.at

Z. Naturforsch. **58b**, 328–331 (2003); received September 25, 2002

Herein we describe the synthesis of *t*-butyldimethylsilyl protected quinol (**9**), using an oxidation/reduction sequence to create the desired orthogonality. The title compound acts as a synthetic equivalent for a quinone, required in the total synthesis of Elisabethin A. It contains a masked quinone and therefore is a suitable precursor for the quinone moiety of this diterpenoid.


Key words: Orthogonal Protected Phenols, Quinol, Total Synthesis, Elisabethin A

Introduction

In the course of studies towards the total synthesis of Elisabethin A (**1**), it was necessary to elaborate a synthetic strategy for a quinol which is protected orthogonally to a methylether. Elisabethin A is a natural product which was isolated from the Caribbean gorgonian *Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae* (Octocorallia) [1]. Marine natural products are among the most promising sources of new biologically active molecules. Certain diterpenoid secondary metabolites possess a broad spectrum of biological activities. Elisabethin type natural products were found to have antitumor and anti-tuberculosis activity [2]. Keeping in mind the biological scope of Elisabethin A (**1**), we intended to synthesise this molecule. The retrosynthetic disconnection implies an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of an (*E,Z*)-diene to a *p*-benzoquinone. A possible precursor (compound **9**) for this quinone moiety is described in this report. It contains a masked quinone and a highly reactive aldehyde function.

Results and Discussion

The synthesis of masked quinol **9** started from the commercially available 2,6-dimethoxy toluene (**2**) which was acetylated using acetyl chloride and titanium chloride as Lewis acid to give a quantitative yield of acetophenone **3** [3]. Bayer-Villiger oxidation of **3** with MCPBA gave the desired ester **4** [4] which was hydrolysed with aq. KOH to furnish phenol **5** in 83% yield. The oxidation to the benzoquinone **6** [5] was achieved in 94% yield by treat-




Elisabethin A (**1**)

ing phenol **5** with CAN in acetonitrile. Quinone **6** was reduced by sodium dithionite to produce hydroquinone **7** [5] in 85% yield. After several unsuccessful attempts the twice *o,p*-activated position was regioselectively formylated by refluxing compound **7** with hexamethylene-tetra amine and acetic acid to yield **8** [6] in 40% crude yield. The free hydroxyl groups were protected as silylethers to furnish the desired aldehyde **9** in 45% yield (Scheme 1).

Experimental Section

All moisture sensitive reactions were carried out under Argon. Anhydrous solvents were obtained as follows: Et₂O distilled from LiAlH₄; acetone, CH₂Cl₂ and DMF distilled from P₂O₅. All other solvents were HPLC grade. Column chromatography was performed with Merck silica gel (240–400 mesh). TLC was carried out with E. Merck silica gel 60-F254 plates. NMR spectra were recorded on either Bruker Avance DPX 250 MHz or Bruker Avance DRX 400 MHz. All NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ solutions and referenced to the residual CHCl₃ signal (¹H, δ = 7.26; ¹³C, δ = 77.0). Mass spectra were measured on a Micro Mass, Trio 200 Fisions Instrument. HRMS were taken with a Finnigan MAT 8230 with a resolution of 10000.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) TiCl₄ (2.0 equiv), AcCl (2.0 equiv), C₆H₆, 0 °C, 30 min, 100%; b) MCPBA (2.0 equiv), TsOH-H₂O (0.03 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 16 h, rt, 85%; c) KOH (2.0 equiv), MeOH/H₂O, 3 h, 100 °C, 83%; d) CAN (2.5 equiv), CH₃CN, H₂O, 45 min, rt, 94%; e) Na₂S₂O₃ (3.85 equiv), Et₂O, H₂O, 30 min, rt, 85%; f) hexamethylene-tetra amine (10.0 equiv), AcOH, 80 °C, 30 min, 40%; g) TBSCl (6.0 equiv), imidazole (6.0 equiv), DMF, rt, 16 h, 45%. MCPBA = *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid, TsOH-H₂O = *p*-toluenesulphonic acid hydrate, CAN = cerium ammonium nitrate.

1-(2,4-Dimethoxy-3-methyl-phenyl)-ethanone (**3**)

Titanium chloride (57.3 g, 302 mmol) and acetyl chloride (23.7 g, 302 mmol) was placed in a three necked flask equipped with reflux condenser, mechanical stirrer and a dropping funnel and cooled to 0 °C. After 15 min this solution solidified and the colour changed from yellow to orange. A solution of 2,6-dimethoxy-

(23.0 g, 151 mmol) in benzene (100 ml) was transferred dropwise into the flask under argon over a period of 15 min. Stirring was continued for half an hour. The solution was quenched by the cautious addition of aq. HCl (5%). Phases were separated and the H₂O layer was extracted with ether (5 × 100 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After purification by column chromatography (hexane / EtOAc 8:2),

the product **3** was isolated in 100% (29.4 g) yield as a brown oil.

R_f 0.53 (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc 6:4). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.54 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.59 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 3.79 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.67 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.54 (s, 3 H, COMe), 2.09 (s, 3 H, ArMe). ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 198.4, 161.9, 159.1, 128.7, 119.9, 105.6, 61.5, 55.4, 29.9, 8.6. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 194 (22) [M]⁺, 178 (100) [M-CH₃]⁺, 136 (12) [M-CH₃-COCH₃]⁺, 91 (12). HRMS (20 °C, 70eV): m/z = calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₃, 194.0943; found, 194.0937.

Acetic acid 2,4-dimethoxy-3-methyl-phenyl ester (**4**)

Acetophenone **3** (29.7 g, 153 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml) together with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate (1.50 g) at 0 °C. *m*-Chloro-per-benzoic acid (68.6 g, 306 mmol) was added carefully under vigorous stirring over a period of about 1 h at 0 °C. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to orange. This mixture was stirred for 16 h at r.t., diluted with sat. NaHCO₃ solution (100 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 100 ml). Solvents were evaporated *in vacuo* from the organic layers and the solid residue was shaken with sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (6 × 100 ml) to remove the benzoic acid. After decanting, the residue was dissolved in Et₂O, passed through a plug of MgSO₄, solvents were evaporated and the crude product was further purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 8:2) to give 27.4 g (85%) of the desired ester **4**.

R_f 0.53 (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc 6:4). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.86 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.59 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 3.80, 3.75 (2s, each 3 H, OMe), 2.32 (s, 3 H, COMe), 2.17 (s, 3 H, ArMe). ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 169.5, 156.3, 150.4, 137.5, 121.0, 119.6, 105.5, 61.7, 55.6, 20.6, 9.0. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 210 (12) [M]⁺, 168 (100) [M-C₂H₂O]⁺, 153 (60), 125 (16). HRMS (20 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₄, 210.0892; found, 210.0889.

2,4-Dimethoxy-3-methyl phenol (**5**)

To a solution of ester **4** (27.3 g, 130 mmol) in MeOH (100 ml) was added KOH (14.9 g, 260 mmol), dissolved in a mixture of MeOH/ H₂O at r.t. The solution was stirred at r.t. for 30 min, heated at 50 °C for 1 h and then refluxed for 3 h. After the addition of H₂O (200 ml), the solution was acidified with aq HCl (15%), washed with brine (150 ml), extracted with Et₂O (7 × 150 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and liberated from solvents *in vacuo* to give a brown crude oil. Purification by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 19:1) furnished the desired phenol **5** as a yellow oil in 83 % (18.2 g) yield.

R_f 0.55 (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc 8:2). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.53 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.76 (d, J =

8.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.63 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.78 (s, 6 H, OMe), 2.19 (s, 3 H, ArMe). ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 151.8, 145.9, 142.8, 119.9, 111.7, 106.7, 60.7, 55.9, 9.2. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 168 (100) [M]⁺, 153 (83) [M-CH₃]⁺, 125 (45), 65 (40), 53 (36). HRMS (20 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₉H₁₂O₃, 168.0786; found, 168.0791.

2-Methoxy-3-methyl-1,4-benzoquinon (**6**)

To a solution of phenol **5** (20.0 g, 119 mmol) in CH₃CN (250 ml) was added under rapid stirring cerium ammonium nitrate (163.3 g, 298 mmol) in H₂O (325 ml) at r.t. over a period of 10 min. Stirring was continued for 45 min then the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 × 250 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (250 ml), 10% NaHCO₃ (2 × 250 ml), H₂O (250 ml) and then brine (250 ml). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. Quinone **6** was isolated as a yellow oil (17.0 g, 94%).

R_f 0.64 (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc 1:4). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.65 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.56 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 4.01 (s, 3 H, OMe), 1.94 (s, 3 H, ArMe). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 187.7, 182.7, 155.2, 135.8, 134.3, 128.4, 60.3, 8.1. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 152 (100) [M]⁺, 137 (7) [M-CH₃]⁺, 122 (41), 109 (21), 84 (93), 66 (32). HRMS (50 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₈H₈O₃, 152.0473; found 152.0477.

2-Methoxy-3-methyl-benzene-1,4-diol (**7**)

To quinone **6** (17.0 g, 112 mmol) in Et₂O (400 ml) was added an aq solution of sodium dithionite (74.9 g, 430 mmol) in H₂O (350 ml) under rapid stirring in two portions. After 30 min of stirring the two layers were separated and the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of solvents the desired hydroquinone **7** was obtained in 85% (14.7 g) yield.

R_f 0.38 (SiO₂, hexane/ EtOAc 3:2). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.66 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.64 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 5.24 (s, 1 H, OH), 4.50 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.19 (s, 3 H, ArMe). ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 147.6, 145.8, 142.7, 112.4, 112.4, 110.9, 60.8, 9.2. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 154 (100) [M]⁺, 139 (83) [M-CH₃]⁺, 111 (53), 57 (47). HRMS (50 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₈H₁₀O₃, 154.0630; found, 154.0624.

2,5-Dihydroxy-4-methoxy-3-methyl-benzaldehyde (**8**)

A solution of hydroquinone **7** (14.5 g, 94.1 mmol) and hexamethylene-tetra amine (132 g, 940 mmol) in acetic acid (600 ml) was heated at 80 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (500 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 300 ml). The combined extracts were washed with sat. NaHCO₃ (400 ml). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄

and solvents were evaporated *in vacuo* to give desired aldehyde **8** in 40% (6.85 g) crude yield.

R_f 0.32 (SiO₂, hexane/ EtOAc 3:1). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.70 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.94 (s, 1 H, ArH), 5.79 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.86 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.19 (s, 3 H, ArMe). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 182 (100) [M]⁺, 167 (19) [M-CH₃]⁺, 153 (7), 83 (53). HRMS (50 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₈H₁₀O₄, 182.0579; found, 182.0577.

2,5-Bis-(tert-butyl-dimethyl-silyloxy)-4-methoxy-3-methyl-benzaldehyde (9)

To a solution of crude aldehyde **8** (3.00 g, 16.0 mmol) in dry DMF (20.0 ml) was added imidazole (7.00 g, 96.0 mmol) under constant stirring at r.t.. Then TBSCl (14.5 g, 96.0 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. The ice bath was removed and stirring was continued for 16 h at r.t.. The reaction mixture was diluted with cold H₂O (50 ml) and extracted with toluene (6 × 25 ml). The combined toluene layers were washed with H₂O (3 ×

20 ml). Further purification by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 19:1) over silica gel furnished the title compound **9** in 45% (3.0 g) yield.

R_f 0.82 (SiO₂, hexane/ EtOAc 8:2). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.18 (s, 1 H, CHO), 7.15 (s, 1 H, ArH), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.13 (s, 3 H, ArMe), 1.00, 1.05 (2s, each 9 H, SiCMe₃), 0.19, 0.14 (2s, each 6 H, SiMe₂). ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 188.2, 155.9, 151.9, 143.5, 124.3, 123.5, 115.5, 59.3, 25.4, 18.3, 10.1, -4.2, -4.0. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 395 (3) [M-CH₃]⁺, 353 (100) [C₁₇H₂₉O₄Si₂]⁺, 281 (16), 224 (9). HRMS (80 °C, 70 eV): m/z = calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₄Si₂ -CH₃, 395.2074; found, 395.2081.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Johann Mulzer, Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Wien, Austria for providing facilities and helpful discussion. Financial support by the Fonds der chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged (Kekulé-Fellowship for T. J. H.).

-
- [1] A. D. Rodríguez, E. Gonzalez, S. D. Huang, J. Org. Chem. **63**, 7083 (1998).
[2] A. D. Rodríguez, C. Ramírez, I. I. Rodríguez, C. L. Barnes, J. Org. Chem. **65**, 1390 (2000).
[3] D. R. Crump, R. W. Franck, R. Gruska, A. A. Ozorio, M. Pagnotta, G. J. Siuta, J. G. White, J. Org. Chem. **42**, 105 (1977).
[4] G. R. Know, Org. Reactions **43**, 345 (1993).
[5] J. R. Luly, H. Rapoport, J. Org. Chem. **46**, 2745 (1981).
[6] N. Saito, K. Tashiro, Y. Maru, K. Yamaguchi, A. Kubo, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 53 (1997).