

Mechanism of Energy Transfer in Collisional Activation of Kiloelectron-Volt Macromolecular Ions

Einar Uggerud

Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Norway*

Peter J. Derrick

Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, England

Z. Naturforsch. **44a**, 245–246 (1989);
received December 9, 1988

An impulsive collision theory explains why helium is an effective target gas for collisionally activated decomposition of large biological ions.

Knowledge of mechanisms of collisional energy transfer is fundamental to many areas of chemistry and physics. During recent years, it has become possible to produce beams of molecule-ions with masses above 20,000 u [1–4]. This has permitted a range of biological important compounds to be subjected to tandem mass spectrometry (also known as mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry), one of the most powerful of analytical methods [5–8]. With this method, the molecule ion accelerated to about 10 keV is collided with a target gas. Excitation energy is taken up by the ion, and fragmentation is induced. The fragmentation provides structural information about the ion and hence about the neutral precursor.

Any gas may be used for collisional activation, but in practice He is commonly employed for activation of large organic and biological ions [5–8]. This is surprising when the maximum amount of energy available per collision for transfer to the internal degrees of freedom is considered. This quantity (the centre-of-mass) energy is given by

$$E_{\text{cm}} = \frac{m_g}{m_i + m_g} E_i \quad (1)$$

m_g and m_i are the masses of the target gas and the ion, respectively, and E_i is the initial translational energy of the ion. As is evident from (1), E_{cm} increases when m_g increases. The magnitude of E_{cm} is therefore not likely to be the only factor which determines the energy transfer to the ion. The important point is the efficiency of energy transfer, which can be formulated in the following way

$$Q = \epsilon E_{\text{cm}} \quad (2)$$

Q is the energy uptake of the ion and ϵ is an efficiency factor. The approximately linear relationship between Q and E_i implied by (1) and (2) has support from recent experiments [9, 10].

Previous investigators have reported a correlation between the ionisation energy (IE) of the target gas and the

* PO Box 1033, Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway.

Reprint requests to Prof. P. J. Derrick, Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England.

amount of energy transferred to small- and medium-sized ions [11] and to macromolecular ions [5, 12]. On these grounds, it has been proposed that electronic excitation of the target gas determines the energy left for excitation of the ion. However, since mainly the noble gases have been investigated, this correlation may turn out to be apparent rather than real. Because the IE's of the noble gases decrease with increasing mass, it may very well be that the mass of the collision gas is the important factor for the efficiency of the energy transfer. Recently performed experiments support this assumption [9, 10]. When D_2 ($m_g = 4$, IE = 15.5 eV) was used as collision gas, the energy transfer was the same as for He ($m_g = 4$, IE = 22.5 eV), and both appeared to be more efficient than Ar ($m_g = 40$, IE = 15.76 eV). In this note we outline a theory which a) accounts for mass dependency of energy transfer in collisions and b) can be used in order to quantitatively predict the energy transfer.

The interaction between ion and target is too fast (of the order 10^{-14} s for 10 keV and 1000 a.m.u.) for statistical distribution of E_{cm} in a collision complex to occur. It is therefore not unreasonable to assume that only momentum (and not potential energy) is transferred in a highly localised interaction. This approach has proved to be successful in predicting the energy transfer in high-temperature collisions between highly energized bromine and the noble gas [13]. Following Nordholm et al. [13], it can be shown that energy transfer in an impulse collision is given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \chi E_{\text{cm}} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\chi = 4 \frac{m_g m_a}{(m_g + m_a)^2} \quad (4)$$

m_a is the mass of the atom of the ion which is hit by the target gas atom or molecule. In this version of the theory, E_{cm} is the only contribution to the kinetic energy available for transfer. In a more refined form, contributions from internal movement in the ion and thermal energy in the target gas should be added [13].

Because a large ion consists of several different atoms, a careful consideration is necessary in order to find a representative value of m_a . A value of 7.2 is used here for m_a as being representative of a typical peptide, on the basis that there are not geometric factors favouring one type of atom over another. Were there to be a preference for interaction with H atoms due to these tending to be on the "outside" of a peptide ion, the average effective value of m_a should be lower. In Table 1,

Table 1. The relationship between the energy transfer efficiency factor and masses of the target gas m_g and the atom which "is hit" in the ion.

m_a	m_g	
	4 (He)	40 (Ar)
1 (H)	0.32	0.05
7.2 (average in peptides)	0.46	0.26
12 (C)	0.38	0.35

0932-0784 / 89 / 0300-0245 \$ 01.30/0. – Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland Lizenz.

Zum 01.01.2015 ist eine Anpassung der Lizenzbedingungen (Entfall der Creative Commons Lizenzbedingung „Keine Bearbeitung“) beabsichtigt, um eine Nachnutzung auch im Rahmen zukünftiger wissenschaftlicher Nutzungsformen zu ermöglichen.

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

On 01.01.2015 it is planned to change the License Conditions (the removal of the Creative Commons License condition "no derivative works"). This is to allow reuse in the area of future scientific usage.

calculated mass dependencies of the efficiency are demonstrated. It can be seen that the closer is the match between the masses of the two atoms involved, the more efficient is the transfer.

It is not possible at the present time to find accurate experimental data on Q in order to evaluate our calculated values. The reason for this is the many difficulties associated with such an experiment. A careful consideration of the ion optics of the instrument and a better knowledge of the energy transfer as a function of the scattering angle is required. Work is in progress in this laboratory to overcome these problems and to explore further consequences of the theory presented here.

What is clear is that impulsive collisions would lead to greater proportions of the centre-of-mass collision energy

being taken up by an organic ion when the target gas is He, as compared to Ar. Impulsive collisions would lead to more internal energy being taken up by an organic ion when the incident ion energy E_i is higher. We would suggest that simple impulsive interactions such as described here provide a good starting point for more detailed treatments of collisions between massive organic ions with kiloelectron volt translational energies and thermal gases.

Acknowledgements

E. Uggerud is pleased to acknowledge the British Council and VISTA for support during a visit to the University of Warwick.

- [1] M. Karas and F. Hillenkamp, *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **11**, in press.
- [2] K. Tanaka, H. Waki, Y. Ido, S. Akita, Y. Yoshida, and T. Yoshida, *Rapid Comm. Mass Spectrom.* **8**, 151 (1988).
- [3] P. Roepstorff and B. Sundqvist, In: *Mass Spectrometry in Biomedical Research* (S. J. Gaskell, ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York 1986, p.269.
- [4] M. Barber, R. S. Bordoli, G. J. Elliott, R. D. Sedgewick, A. N. Tyler, and B. N. Green, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1982**, 936.
- [5] F. W. McLafferty, in: *Tandem Mass Spectrometry* (F. W. McLafferty, ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York 1983, p.1.
- [6] G. M. Neumann, M. M. Sheil, and P. J. Derrick, *Z. Naturforsch.* **39a**, 584 (1984).
- [7] K. Biemann, *Anal. Chem.* **59**, 125R (1987).
- [8] R. G. Cooks, in: *Collision Spectroscopy* (R. G. Cooks, ed.). Plenum Press 1978.
- [9] M. M. Sheil, E. Uggerud, and P. J. Derrick, *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **11**, in press.
- [10] M. M. Sheil and P. J. Derrick, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* in preparation.
- [11] M. S. Kim and F. W. McLafferty, *J. Amer. Soc.* **100**, 3279 (1978).
- [12] D. L. Bricker and D. H. Russell, *J. Amer. Soc.* **108**, 6174 (1986).
- [13] B. C. Freasier, D. L. Jolly, N. D. Hamer, and S. Nordholm, *Chem. Phys.* **106**, 413 (1986).